# **Ward Elementary School**

8851 Adoree Street • Downey, CA 90242 • (562) 904-3591 • Grades K-3 Karen Trejo, Principal ktrejo@dusd.net

# 2015-16 School Accountability Report Card **Published During the 2016-17 School Year**



# **Downey Unified School District**

11627 Brookshire Ave. Downey, CA 90241-7017 (562) 469-6500 www.dusd.net

#### **District Governing Board**

Tod M. Corrin

William A. Gutierrez

Donald E. LaPlante

D. Mark Morris

Barbara R. Samperi

Martha E. Sodetani

Nancy A. Swenson

#### **District Administration**

John A. Garcia, Jr., Ph.D.

Superintendent

Roger Brossmer

Assistant Superintendent, **Educational Services - Secondary** 

Nancy Nien, Ph.D.

Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

Wayne Shannon

Assistant Superintendent, **Educational Services - Elementary** 

Rena Thompson, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent, **Certificated Human Resources** 

Veronica Lizardi

**Director, Instructional Support Programs** 

Marian Reynolds

Administrator, Student Services

Jennifer Robbins

Assistant-Director, Elementary **Education** 

Ruth Valadez

**Director, Special Education** 

# **School Description**

It is my pleasure to introduce this annual School Accountability Report Card. The data and information contained within these pages will prove useful in informing you about our school, including but not limited to academic standings, curriculum and instruction, school facilities and safety, budget, and facility enhancement.

As you read our School Accountability Report Card, you will see a school that ensures every child has equal access to a rigorous core curriculum in language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. The hard-working staff is both skilled and dedicated to the success of our students. The parents and the community organizations are very supportive.

Our goal in presenting you with this information is to keep our community well informed. If you have any questions or are interested in making an appointment to discuss this report, please call our school.

Karen Trejo, PRINCIPAL

### **About the SARC**

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level Number of Students					
Kindergarten	133				
Grade 1	102				
Grade 2	108				
Grade 3	109				
Total Enrollment	452				

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	1.8			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4			
Asian	0.9			
Filipino	1.5			
Hispanic or Latino	92.9			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4			
White	1.3			
Two or More Races	0.7			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	79.6			
English Learners	32.7			
Students with Disabilities	12.2			
Foster Youth	2.7			

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials							
Ward Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17				
With Full Credential	20.0	20.0	20.0				
Without Full Credential	0	0	0				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0				
Downey Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17				
With Full Credential	•	•	924				
Without Full Credential	•	<b>*</b>	16				
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	*	+	16				

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
Ward Elementary School	14-15	15-16	16-17				
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0				

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

# **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes  Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers  Qualified Teachers						
<b>This School</b> 100.0 0.0						
	Districtwide					
All Schools	96.3	3.7				
High-Poverty Schools	96.3	3.7				
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0				

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials  Year and month in which data were collected: 10/11/2016						
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption					
Reading/Language Arts	Reading California, Houghton Mifflin, adopted in 2003  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes  Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					
Mathematics	California Math Expressions, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, adopted in 2015  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes  Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					
Science	California Science, Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, adopted in 2007  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes  Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for California, Scott Foresman, adopted in 2006  The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes  Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0					

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our school provides a safe, clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. Classrooms, bathrooms, and general areas are in good repair and receive basic cleaning on a daily basis. Detailed cleaning is done during vacation periods. The district provides maintenance services on a regular schedule or when an emergency occurs.

Safety concerns are the number one priority of Maintenance and Operations. District maintenance supervisors are proactive and conduct inspections at school sites on a continual basis. The District administers a scheduled maintenance program to ensure a suitable learning environment. At the time this report was published, all restrooms on campus were in good working order. Daily reports of incidents that require repair at the school sites are processed through work orders. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The remaining incidents are handled on a first-come, first-served basis to ensure efficient service.

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. The District's complete deferred maintenance plan is available at the district office.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/4/2016						
Custom Inspected		Repair Status		Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair Poor		Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation			Х	Work orders submitted		
Electrical: Electrical		Х		Work orders submitted		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/4/2016					
System Inspected	Repair Status  Good Fair Poor			Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			1 001	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences				Х	Work orders submitted
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor X	

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15 15-16		14-15	15-16
ELA	48	36	46	52	44	48
Math	53	43	31	36	34	36

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven						
		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	108	107	99.1	36.5	
Male	3	50	50	100.0	42.0	
Female	3	58	57	98.3	31.6	
Hispanic or Latino	3	102	101	99.0	36.6	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	84	83	98.8	36.1	
English Learners	3	30	29	96.7	13.8	
Students with Disabilities	3	20	20	100.0	30.0	

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven **Number of Students Percent of Students Student Group** Grade Standard Met or **Enrolled Tested** Tested **Exceeded** All Students 3 108 106 98.2 43.4 Male 3 50.0 50 50 100.0 **Female** 3 58 56 96.5 37.5 **Hispanic or Latino** 3 102 100 98.0 42.0 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 3 84 82 97.6 42.7 **English Learners** 3 30 28 93.3 35.7 Students with Disabilities 3 20 20 100.0 35.0

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents and community members are very supportive of the educational program at our school. Staff members and parents participate on various committees that make decisions regarding the priorities and direction of the educational plan to ensure instructional programs are consistent with student needs.

Parents are encouraged to participate on one or more of the following committees or organizations:

- Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)
- School Site Council (SSC)
- English-Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)
- Enrichment Parent Committee
- Classroom volunteers

SSC, consisting of school staff and parents, is a major governing body that meets regularly to address programs and components that make up the school, to discuss the effectiveness of programs relative to the goals of the school, and to act as a communication liaison between the community and school.

The contact person for parent involvement is Principal Trejo; she can be reached at (562) 904-3591.

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

Safety of students and staff is the primary concern at our school. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Monthly fire drills and disaster drop drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. A storage bin contains food, water, and other supplies for use during a disaster.

Our school is a closed campus. All visitors are required to sign-in at the school's office. Before school, after school, and during lunch administrators and other campus supervisors monitor activity and ensure student safety.

A Comprehensive School Safety Plan, which is reviewed annually, was developed by the school staff to provide students and staff a means to ensure a safe and orderly learning environment. Components of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include: child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupils procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, suspension and expulsion policies, dress code, and discipline policies. The school safety committee and district personnel evaluate the plan annually and update the plan as needed. The School Safety Plan was last revised in October.

Suspensions and Expulsions							
School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16				
Suspensions Rate	2.6	1.2	1.2				
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0				
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16				
Suspensions Rate	3.5	3.0	2.5				
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.1				
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16				
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7				
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1				

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI			
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2009-2010			
Year in Program Improvement	Year 1	Year 3			
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	14				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	77.8				

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)				
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0			
Psychologist	0.4			
Social Worker	0			
Nurse	0.2			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1			
Resource Specialist	1			
Other				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor 0				

<sup>\*</sup> One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
Grade				1-20		21-32		33+				
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
К	30	25	27				3	5	5			
1	27	25	24				4	4	4			
2	28	28	26				4	4	4			
3	22	22	27	1	1		5	4	4			
Other			14			1						

# **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

Teachers take approximately 5 days per year for their continuing education and professional development to improve their teaching skills in the subjects they teach to support student learning.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$52,782	\$45,092				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$82,996	\$71,627				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$108,045	\$93,288				
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$120,140	\$115,631				
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$122,373	\$120,915				
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$138,120	\$132,029				
Superintendent Salary	\$234,445	\$249,537				
Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	43%	37%				
Administrative Salaries	4%	5%				

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &
Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries							
Level	Ехр	Average					
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	8862.60	1561.27	7301.33	88417.82			
District	<b>+</b>	•	6859.58	\$88,103			
State	•	•	\$5,677	\$75,837			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	6.4	0.4				
Percent Diffe	erence: School	28.6	16.6				

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

# **Types of Services Funded**

Instructional support is provided for students with academic needs during the school day. Classroom and/or intervention teachers work with identified students in core subjects (English/Language Arts, math, social studies, and science) to assist them in reaching State standards. Funding is provided through the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other site funds.

# **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

# **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.