Columbus Continuation School

12330 Woodruff Ave. • Downey, CA 90241 • (562) 904-3552 • Grades 9-12
Anthony Zegarra, Principal
azegarra@dusd.net

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Downey Unified School District

11627 Brookshire Ave. Downey, CA 90241-7017 (562) 469-6500 www.dusd.net

District Governing Board

Tod M. Corrin

William A. Gutierrez

Donald E. LaPlante

D. Mark Morris

Barbara R. Samperi

Martha E. Sodetani

Nancy A. Swenson

District Administration

John A. Garcia, Jr.

Superintendent

Roger Brossmer

Assistant Superintendent, Certificated Human Resources

Leslie Jones

Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services

Nancy Nien, Ph.D.

Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

Veronica Lizardi

Director, Instructional Support Programs

Kathleen Succa

Director, Student Services

Ruth Valadez

Director, Special Education

John Harris

Director, Secondary Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment

School Description

It is my pleasure to introduce this annual School Accountability Report Card. The data and information contained within these pages will prove useful in informing you about our school, including, but not limited to academic standings, curriculum and instruction, school facilities and safety, budget, and facility enhancement.

A common feature of continuation high schools is that Columbus High School's student population is listed as at-risk students who are behind in credits. Students are given a chance to make up their lost credits and return to their comprehensive high schools at the semester to graduate with their original class or graduate from Columbus High School. This allows for a focus and ownership of a student's goal and their individual progress toward their high school diploma. Students are able to see exactly how many credits they currently have and exactly how many credits and classes they still need to achieve their goal.

Columbus High School is also a WASC accredited high school, having most recently receiving a 6-year accreditation from 2013 to 2019. If you have any questions or are interested in making an appointment to discuss this report, please call our school.

Anthony Zegarra, PRINCIPAL

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (562) 904-3552 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level	Number of Students				
Grade 10	1				
Grade 11	68				
Grade 12	214				
Ungraded Secondary	2				
Total Enrollment	285				

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	4.6				
Hispanic or Latino	89.1				
White	6				
Two or More Races	0.4				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.6				
English Learners	16.1				
Students with Disabilities	5.3				
Foster Youth	1.4				

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials								
Columbus Continuation School	13-14	14-15	15-16					
With Full Credential	21	21	21					
Without Full Credential	0	1	0					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	1	1	1					
Downey Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16					
With Full Credential	*	*						
Without Full Credential	*	+						
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	+						

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School								
Columbus Continuation School	13-14	14-15	15-16					
Teachers of English Learners	1	1	1					
Total Teacher Misassignments	1	1	1					
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0					

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers							
Location of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Qualified Teacher							
This School	83.6	16.4					
	Districtwide						
All Schools	96.8	3.2					
High-Poverty Schools	96.8	3.2					
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0					

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: 10/20/2015						
Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption							
Reading/Language Arts	Literature and Language Arts: Third Course, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston adopted in 2003						
	Literature and Language Arts: Fourth Course, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston adopted in 2003						
	Literature and Language Arts: Fifth Course, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston adopted in 2003						
	Literature and Language Arts: Sixth Course, Holt, Rinehart, & Winston adopted in 2003						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0						
Mathematics	HMH Integrated Math 1, California Student Edition, adopted in 2015						
	HMH Integrated Math 2, California Student Edition, adopted in 2015						
	HMH Integrated Math 3, California Student Edition, adopted in 2015						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0						
Science	Earth Science: Concepts and Challenges, Globe Fearon adopted in 2007						
	Life Science: Concepts and Challenges, Globe Fearon adopted in 2007						
	Pacemaker Biology, Globe Fearon adopted in 2007						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0						
History-Social Science	World History, AGS Publishing adopted in 2006						
	United States History, AGS Publishing adopted in 2006						
	United States Government, AGS Publishing adopted in 2006						
	Economics, AGS Publishing adopted in 2006						
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0						

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our school provides a safe, clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. Classrooms, bathrooms, and general areas are in good repair and receive basic cleaning on a daily basis. Detailed cleaning is done during vacation periods. The District provides maintenance services on a regular schedule or when an emergency occurs.

Safety concerns are the number one priority of Maintenance and Operations. District maintenance supervisors are proactive and conduct inspections at school sites on a continual basis. The District administers a scheduled maintenance program to ensure a suitable learning environment. At the time this report was published, all restrooms on campus were in good working order. Daily reports of incidents that require repair at the school sites are processed through work orders. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The remaining incidents are handled on a first-come, first-served basis to ensure efficient service.

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. The District's complete deferred maintenance plan is available at the district office.

In 2012-13, the Administration Office received a facelift. New paint, floors, cabinets and central air conditioning and heating was installed. The grounds around the office were replanted with low maintenance plants. In 2013-14, improvement of the wireless connections in each classroom is planned.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/7/2015							
System Inspected		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and		
System inspected	Good	Fa	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces			· ·		work orders submitted		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х						
Electrical: Electrical	Х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х						
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good X	Fair	Poor			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students									
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard (grades 3-8 and 11)								
·	School	District	State						
ELA	8	46	44						
Math	0	31	33						

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
School District State									
12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	12-13 13-14 14-15			13-14	14-15	
	5 16 65 66 63 59 60 56								
	Pe	Percent c (me School 12-13 13-14	Percent of Stude (meeting o School 12-13 13-14 14-15	Percent of Students Sco (meeting or excee School 12-13 13-14 14-15 12-13	Percent of Students Scoring at (meeting or exceeding the School District 12-13 13-14 14-15 12-13 13-14	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficience (meeting or exceeding the state) School District 12-13 13-14 14-15 12-13 13-14 14-15	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or A (meeting or exceeding the state standa School District 12-13 13-14 14-15 12-13 13-14 14-15 12-13	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advance (meeting or exceeding the state standards) School District State 12-13 13-14 14-15 12-13 13-14 14-15 12-13 13-14	

^{*} Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group							
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced						
•	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)						
All Students in the LEA	63						
All Student at the School	16						
Male							
Female	9						
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino	14						
White							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged							
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities	7						
Foster Youth							

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven										
		Number of Students		Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
All Students	11	149	139	93.3	65	22	7	1		
Male	11		92	61.7	67	24	7	1		
Female	11		47	31.5	62	19	9	0		
Black or African American	11		3	2.0						
American Indian or Alaska Native	11		0	0.0						
Asian	11		1	0.7						
Hispanic or Latino	11		127	85.2	65	23	7	1		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	11		1	0.7						
White	11		7	4.7						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11		105	70.5	66	25	7	0		
English Learners	11		31	20.8	84	10	0	0		
Students with Disabilities	11		4	2.7						
Foster Youth	11									

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven								
		Number o	f Students		Pe	ercent of Studen	its	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	11	149	133	89.3	95	2	0	0
Male	11		92	61.7	97	2	0	0
Female	11		41	27.5	93	2	0	0
Black or African American	11		3	2.0				
American Indian or Alaska Native	11		0	0.0				
Asian	11		1	0.7				
Hispanic or Latino	11		121	81.2	96	2	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	11		1	0.7				
White	11		7	4.7				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11		102	68.5	96	2	0	0
English Learners	11		30	20.1	97	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	11	-	4	2.7				

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven									
		Number of S		Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
Foster Youth	11								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and community members are very supportive of the educational program at our school. Staff members and parents participate on various committees that make decisions regarding the priorities and direction of the educational plan to ensure instructional programs are consistent with student needs.

Parents are encouraged to participate on one or more of the following committees or organizations:

- Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)
- School Site Council (SSC)
- English-Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)

The SSC, consisting of school staff and parents, is a major governing body that meets regularly to address programs and components that make up the school, to discuss the effectiveness of programs relative to the goals of the school, and to act as a communication liaison between the community and school.

The contact person for parent involvement is Principal Zegarra; he can be reached at (562) 904-3552.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Safety of students and staff is the primary concern at our school. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Monthly fire drills and disaster drop drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. A storage bin contains food, water, and other supplies for use during a disaster.

Our school is a closed campus. All visitors are required to sign-in at the school's office. Before school, after school, and during lunch administrators and other campus supervisors monitor activity and ensure student safety.

A Comprehensive School Safety Plan, which is reviewed annually, was developed by the school staff to provide students and staff a means to ensure a safe and orderly learning environment. Components of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include: child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupil procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, suspension and expulsion policies, dress code, and discipline policies. The school safety committee and district personnel evaluate the plan annually and update the plan as needed. The Comprehensive School Safety Plan was last revised in October.

Suspensions and Expulsions								
School	2012-13	2012-13 2013-14 2014-15						
Suspensions Rate	8.43	8.48	4.99					
Expulsions Rate	1.20	0.19	0.42					
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15					
Suspensions Rate	4.01	3.54	2.98					
Expulsions Rate	0.17	0.04	0.03					
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15					
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80					
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09					

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria							
AYP Criteria School District State							
English Language Arts							
Met Participation Rate	No	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Mathe	matics						
Met Participation Rate	No	Yes	Yes				
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Made AYP Overall	Made AYP Overall No Yes Yes						
Met Attendance Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes				
Met Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes				

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status	In PI				
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impro	14				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	87.5				

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)											
					Number of Classrooms*							
Average Class Size			1-22 23-32 33+									
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	5	5	4	76	66	72		2				
Math	9	8	7	28	25	29	4	3	1	1		
Science	8	7	6	33	39	33	2					
SS	7	7	6	47	56	61		3				

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School					
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	1				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0				
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)					
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0				
Psychologist	0.6				
Social Worker	0				
Nurse	0.2				
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.2				
Resource Specialist	0				
Other					
Average Number of Students per Staff Member					
Academic Counselor					

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,843	\$43,165				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78,376	\$68,574				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,309	\$89,146				
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$114,692	\$111,129				
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$116,824	\$116,569				
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$131,856	\$127,448				
Superintendent Salary	\$223,814	\$234,382				
Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	45%	38%				
Administrative Salaries	4%	5%				

^{*} For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Teachers take approximately 10 days per year for their continuing education and professional development to improve their teaching skills in the subjects they teach to support student learning.

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries							
Level	Average						
Levei	Total	Teacher Salary					
School Site	11887.25	2778.89	9108.36	88166.07			
District	*	•	5855.45	\$82,765			
State			\$5,348	\$72,971			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	55.6	10.7				
Percent Diffe	rence: School	94.2	24.7				

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Before, during, and after school instructional support is provided for students with academic needs. Classroom and/or intervention teachers work with identified students in core subjects (English/Language Arts, math, social studies, and science) to assist them in reaching State standards. Funding is provided through the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other site funds.

2014-15 California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group								
Group	En	glish-Language A	rts	Mathematics				
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced		
All Students in the LEA	38	29	34	40	41	19		
All Students at the School	0	0		75	25			

^{*} Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Columbus Continuation School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
English-Language Arts		8			
Mathematics		14	25		
Downey Unified School District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
English-Language Arts	58	46	50		
Mathematics	58	49	49		
California	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
English-Language Arts	57	56	58		
Mathematics	60	62	59		

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)						
Columbus Continuation School	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
Dropout Rate	6.70	5.30	4.10			
Graduation Rate	90.87	92.68	94.04			
Downey Unified School District	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
Dropout Rate	6.70	5.30	4.10			
Graduation Rate	90.87	92.68	94.04			
California	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
Dropout Rate	13.10	11.40	11.50			
Graduation Rate	78.87	80.44	80.95			

Career Technical Education Participation				
Measure	CTE Program Participation			
Number of pupils participating in CTE	221			
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	5.3			
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	4.6			

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements				
Group	Graduating Class of 2014			
	School	District	State	
All Students	82.79	95.46	84.6	
Black or African American	137.5	90	76	
American Indian or Alaska Native	50	90	78.07	
Asian		94.59	92.62	
Filipino		96.43	96.49	
Hispanic or Latino	82.01	96.11	81.28	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		100	83.58	
White	73.33	91.1	89.93	
Two or More Races		50	82.8	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	300	86.24	61.28	
English Learners	71.43	73.43	50.76	
Students with Disabilities	82.74	95.43	81.36	
Foster Youth				

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission			
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent		
2014-15 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	93.93		
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0		

2014-15 Advanced Placement Courses			
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses	
Computer Science		•	
English		•	
Fine and Performing Arts		•	
Foreign Language		•	
Mathematics		•	
Science		•	
Social Science		•	
All courses			

Where there are student course enrollments.

Career Technical Education Programs

The high schools offer courses intended to help students prepare for the world of work. These career technical education courses (CTE, formerly known as vocational education) are open to all students.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.